Contribution ID: cf0789a7-be71-4a5b-a95b-c55ddbc9c5fb

Date: 09/02/2021 15:22:51

Consultation on the Review and the Revision of Directive 2012/27/EU on Energy Efficiency

re mandatory.

Introduction

This consultation aims to collect views and suggestions from stakeholders and citizens on the review and the revision of Directive 2012/27/EU on energy efficiency (Energy Efficiency Directive or EED), as partially amended in 2018 (Directive (EU) 2018/2002), foreseen by June 2021[1].

Energy Efficiency dimension of the Energy Union and the EED

Since the beginning, Energy Efficiency targets and policies have been one of the cornerstones of the EU Energy and Climate policy. Energy efficiency is one of the five dimensions of the Energy Union and will continue playing a key role in delivering the 2030 energy and climate framework supported by the governance process under the Governance Regulation[2]. In addition, Energy Efficiency First[3] has become a guiding principle of EU energy policy. To facilitate the operationalization of the principle, the Commission will issue a guidance.

The EED was adopted in 2012 to promote energy efficiency across the EU, to tap the existing energy saving potential with concrete measures, to remove barriers and overcome market failures that impede efficiency in energy supply and use in different sectors in order to achieve the EU headline energy efficiency targets for 2020.

The EED is part of the broader EU energy efficiency policy framework, which brings together other key instruments, such as the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive[4], as amended by Directive (2018/844 /EU) (EPBD), the Energy Labelling Regulation[5] and the Ecodesign Directive[6].

The EED is part of the overall decarbonisation policy framework and is interlinked with other energy and climate policy areas, notably, the Renewable Energy Directive (RED)[7], the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) Directive[8] and the Effort Sharing Regulation[9] (non-ETS sectors), and security of supply and internal energy market. The EU level energy and climate targets are linked together in the Governance Regulation, which requires Member States to prepare their integrated National Energy and Climate Plans (NECPs) for 2030. In these NECPs Member States set out their national contributions to the EU level targets and policy objectives, and the intended policies and measures to implement them.

The EED was subject to a first, limited revision in 2018[10] as part of the Clean Energy for All Europeans package[11]. This revision sets the EU headline energy efficiency target for 2030 of at least 32.5% and

amended certain provisions[12], including adding a new requirement for a general review of the Directive and a possible, upwards revision of the target[13]. The transposition deadline for the amending Directive (2018/2002) was, in general on 25 June 2020, and, for Articles 9 to 11, on 25 October 2020.

The European Green Deal and the increased energy efficiency target for 2030

The Commission announced in the European Green Deal[14] that it would present an impact-assessed plan to increase the EU's greenhouse gas emission reductions target for 2030 to at least 50% towards 55% in a responsible way. The Commission also committed to "review and propose to revise", where necessary, the relevant energy legislation by June 2021", including the EED.

In the impact assessment[15] accompanying the Communication on the Climate Target Plan[16] adopted on 17 September 2020, the Commission examined the effects on the economy, society and environment of reducing emissions by 50% to at least 55% by 2030 (compared to 1990 levels). The assessment also considered the mix of available policy instruments and how each sector of the economy could contribute to these increased targets.

To this end and based on this impact assessment, the Communication on the Climate Target Plan puts forward an emissions reduction target of at least net 55% by 2030 as a balanced, realistic, and prudent pathway to climate neutrality by 2050. It also highlights that, to achieve this level of greenhouse gas emission reductions, there is a need to significantly step up energy efficiency efforts (to 36-37% for final and 39-41% for primary energy consumption) by 2030 from the current headline target of at least 32.5%.

The assessment of Member States' national contributions to the current headline target[17] shows insufficient level of ambition in terms of energy efficiency. The gap is equal to 2.8 percentage points for primary energy consumption and at 3.1 percentage points for final energy consumption.

Trends in energy efficiency

In terms of energy consumption, transport is the sector with the highest energy consumption accounting for 34% of final energy consumption in 2018. It is followed by industry and the residential sectors with both representing 25%, and the services' sector representing 13% of final energy consumption. The remaining sectors including, agriculture, fishing and forestry represent 3% of final energy consumption. Following a gradual decrease between 2007 and 2014, energy consumption has started to increase in recent years, and is now slightly above the linear trajectory for the 2020 targets. This is mainly due to weather variations, notably colder winters in 2015 and 2016, but also increased economic activity, low oil prices and increase in transport. Energy intensity in industry has continued to improve by as much as 22% between 2005 and 2017 and energy savings have indeed helped offset parts of the impact of these increases.

The latest assessment of progress for 2018 shows a decline of 0.6% in primary energy consumption compared to 2017[18], but this pace of reduction is insufficient to meet the EU target in 2020.

To address the growing energy consumption since 2014, the Commission set up a dedicated Task Force in the summer 2018 to mobilise Member States' efforts to reach the EU energy efficiency targets for 2020[19].

Partial and preliminary data for 2020 indicate that the impact on energy consumption of the COVID-19 crisis is significant and, as a result, the 2020 energy efficiency targets may well be met. However, these reductions are not caused by structural changes. Moreover, it was clear before the crisis that the level of

energy efficiency efforts by Member States would not alone be sufficient to reach the 2020 targets. The subsequent recovery from the COVID-19 crisis is expected to lead to a return of energy consumption close to the pre-crisis levels.

Taking the above-mentioned elements into consideration and given the collective ambition gap of the national contributions proposed in the NECPs, the policies in place would have to be significantly increased in order to reach even the current 2030 targets

Review and the revision of the EED

The process will cover two elements:

- 1. The evaluation of those elements of the EED that were not revised in 2018.
- 2. The Impact assessment for a revision of the EED in view of meeting the increased 2030 GHG emissions reduction ambition.

Against this background, the Commission shall undertake a two-step process. As a first step, the evaluation will assess the existing framework of the EED since its entry into force in 2012[20], except for those elements already revised in 2018. It will assess whether the provisions are efficient, effective, and coherent with the broader EU legislative framework. It shall assess whether the EED is fit to overcome remaining regulatory and non-regulatory barriers, and market failures, whether there are some shortcomings, gaps and weaknesses for the existing measures or whether additional measures would be needed to deliver on their expected results.

The findings of the evaluation will then offer the basis for what needs to be streamlined, strengthened, added or changed in the EED in order (a) to address the remaining ambition gap to the 2030 EU energy efficiency targets and (b) to deliver the increased EU greenhouse emissions reduction target of at least 55% by 2030. The impact of these policy choices will be thoroughly analysed and the impact assessment will look at the impacts of the entire EED, irrespective of the articles that were revised in 2018.

The questions of this consultation are formulated to respect the requirements of the Better Regulation rules [21] and to support this two-step process of evaluation and impact assessment.

About you

*Language of my contribution	
Bulgarian	
Croatian	
Czech	
Danish	
Dutch	
<u> </u>	

English Estonian

	French
	© German
	© Greek
	Description Hungarian
	Dirish Trish
	[©] Italian
	Datvian Datvian
	Lithuanian
	Maltese
	Polish
	Portuguese
	Romanian
	Slovak
	Slovenian
	Spanish
	Swedish
	m giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other
* Firs	st name
	Mélanie
*Su	rname
	Bourgeois

En	nali (this won't be published)	
	melanie.bourgeois@energy-cities.eu	

*Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

Energy Cities			

*Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

*Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation. Djibouti Afghanistan Libya Saint Martin Åland Islands Liechtenstein Dominica Saint Pierre and Miquelon Albania Dominican Lithuania Saint Vincent Republic and the Grenadines 0

	Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
0	American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
	Samoa			
0	Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and Príncipe
0	Angola	Equatorial Guinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
0	Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
0	Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
0	Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
	Barbuda			
0	Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
0	Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall	Singapore

Islands

0	Aruba	\odot	Faroe Islands	0	Martinique	\odot	Sint Maarten
0	Australia		Fiji		Mauritania		Slovakia
0	Austria		Finland		Mauritius		Slovenia
0	Azerbaijan	0	France		Mayotte		Solomon
							Islands
0	Bahamas		French Guiana		Mexico		Somalia
0	Bahrain		French		Micronesia		South Africa
			Polynesia				
0	Bangladesh		French		Moldova		South Georgia
			Southern and				and the South
			Antarctic Lands				Sandwich
							Islands
0	Barbados		Gabon		Monaco		South Korea
0	Belarus		Georgia		Mongolia		South Sudan
0	Belgium		Germany		Montenegro		Spain
0	Belize		Ghana		Montserrat		Sri Lanka
0	Benin		Gibraltar		Morocco		Sudan
0	Bermuda		Greece		Mozambique		Suriname
0	Bhutan		Greenland		Myanmar		Svalbard and
					/Burma		Jan Mayen
0	Bolivia		Grenada		Namibia		Sweden
0	Bonaire Saint		Guadeloupe		Nauru		Switzerland
	Eustatius and						
	Saba						
0	Bosnia and		Guam		Nepal		Syria
	Herzegovina						
0	Botswana		Guatemala		Netherlands	0	Taiwan
0	Bouvet Island		Guernsey		New Caledonia		Tajikistan
0	Brazil		Guinea		New Zealand		Tanzania
0	British Indian		Guinea-Bissau		Nicaragua		Thailand
	Ocean Territory						
0	British Virgin		Guyana		Niger		The Gambia
	Islands						
0	Brunei		Haiti		Nigeria		Timor-Leste

©	Bulgaria	0	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	0	Niue	©	Togo
	Burkina Faso	0	Honduras		Norfolk Island		Tokelau
0	Burundi	0	Hong Kong	©	Northern Mariana Islands	0	Tonga
0	Cambodia	0	Hungary	0	North Korea	0	Trinidad and Tobago
	Cameroon	0	Iceland	0	North Macedonia	0	Tunisia
	Canada		India		Norway		Turkey
	Cape Verde		Indonesia		Oman		Turkmenistan
	Cayman Islands		Iran		Pakistan		Turks and
							Caicos Islands
0	Central African Republic	0	Iraq	0	Palau	0	Tuvalu
	Chad		Ireland		Palestine		Uganda
	Chile		Isle of Man		Panama		Ukraine
	China		Israel		Papua New		United Arab
					Guinea		Emirates
	Christmas		Italy		Paraguay		United
	Island						Kingdom
	Clipperton		Jamaica	0	Peru		United States
	Cocos (Keeling)		Japan	0	Philippines		United States
	Islands						Minor Outlying
							Islands
0	Colombia	0	Jersey	0	Pitcairn Islands	0	Uruguay
0	Comoros	0	Jordan	(C)	Poland	0	US Virgin
							Islands
0	Congo	0	Kazakhstan	0	Portugal	0	Uzbekistan
0	Cook Islands	0	Kenya	0	Puerto Rico	0	Vanuatu
0	Costa Rica	0	Kiribati	0	Qatar	0	Vatican City
0	Côte d'Ivoire	0	Kosovo	0	Réunion	0	Venezuela
	Croatia		Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam

Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and Futuna
Curaçao	Laos	Rwanda	WesternSahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint Barthélemy	© Yemen
Czechia	Lebanon	Saint HelenaAscension andTristan daCunha	Zambia
DemocraticRepublic of theCongo	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Zimbabwe
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	
255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is influence EU decision-makin 11514322965-05		<u>er</u> . It's a voluntary database fo	r organisations seeking to
	1	r institution?	
What is the scope of International European Unior National Local Other (please sp	n pecify) on or institution prin	r institution?	, climate and/or

- *Does your organisation or institution primarily deal with OTHER issues than energy, climate and/or environmental issues?
 - Yes
 - No

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. Fo r the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published. Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

*Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Part I – Questions of general nature

1. Assessing the implementation and the effectiveness of the Energy Efficiency Directive

Although the progress towards the achievement of the 2020 targets is still to be assessed, it is important to assess the effectiveness of the existing EED framework and to see how and to what extent the original

objectives were achieved in the context of the proposed higher climate ambition of at least 55% net emissions reduction by 2030.

1.1 To what extent do you agree with the following statement?

"The original objectives of the EED - to increase energy efficiency across the EU and to remove barriers and market failures in energy supply and energy use - are still relevant"?

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree	No opinion
* Please select your answer	0	0	0	0	•	0

Please explain your answer:

Energy efficiency is the largest contributor to the reduction of GHG emissions. Even though EED has led to progress and awareness, there is still a long way to go to increase and accelerate energy efficiency across all sectors in Europe. This is essential for several reasons:

- 1) Energy Efficiency is the best way to meet climate targets and achieve climate neutrality by 2050.
- 2) Energy Efficiency helps to tackle energy poverty.
- 3) Energy Efficiency can create jobs and growth, which is an essential criteria in recovery time.

The objectives of EED are still very relevant, but the means and the investments need to be revised to achieve ambitious targets.

1.2 To what extent has the EED attained its objectives – to increase energy efficiency across the EU and to remove barriers and market failures in energy supply and energy use ?

	Not at all	To a little extent	To some extent	To a moderate extent	To a large extent	No opinion
* Please select your answer	0	0	•	•	0	0

Please explain your answer:

The EED has raised awareness and brought the topic on the political agenda. However, the results are not there as the 2020 and 2030 targets have been and will be missed and the NECPs lack ambitions.

- *1.2.A Which factors helped the most to achieve the objectives of the EED? (multiple options are possible)
 - Binding nature of the measures of the EED (e.g. Article 5 on exemplary role for public buildings and Article 7 on energy savings obligation, etc.)
 - Significant flexibility left to Member States how to achieve various obligations under the EED

Existence of targets at the EU level
Requirement to set national targets
Requirement for planning policies and measures at national level
■ Wide scope of the EED covering both the energy supply and demand and
targeting different market actors (e.g. energy suppliers and distributors,
transmission grid operators, national regulators, enterprises and consumers)
Strong monitoring and reporting framework at EU level
Other (please specify)
3 To what extent could the below mentioned positive effects and outcomes
chieved to date) be associated with the EED since its entry into force in

1. (a **2012?** (use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a very little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* My country is more committed to energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	•
* There is greater awareness about energy efficiency and its role in achieving the overall climate objectives (i.e. Paris Agreement)	0	0	0	•	0	0
* More developed market of energy services	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Innovative technologies and techniques are more often used	0	0	•	0	0	0
* Greater availability of funding for energy efficiency investments	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Energy efficiency policies triggered more jobs and growth	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Energy efficiency led to an increased security of supply	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Energy efficiency led to lower energy bills	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Energy efficiency reduced energy poverty	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Energy efficiency increased resource efficiency	•	0	0	0	0	0

1.4 To what extent could the below mentioned negative effects be associated with the EED?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a very little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
--	---	---	---	---	---	---------------

 Obligations under the EED led to higher administrative burden besides costs 	•	0	0	0	0	0
* Obligations under the EED led to disproportionately higher costs	•	0	0	0	0	0
* Enterprises have lost substantial revenues	•	0	0	0	0	0
Obligations under the EED led to flawed investment decisions	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Obligations under the EED further complicated existing rules	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Guidance on implementation of the EED from national authorities to enterprises and consumers was unclear	0	0	•	0	0	0
Obligations under the EED put strain on already limited national administrative resources	•	0	0	0	0	0
Obligations under the EED led to too diverging implementation across Member States	0	0	•	0	0	0
* The benefits of the EED were unequally distributed among the population.	0	0	•	0	0	0

1.5 Which measures stemming from the EED have been the most successful	ul
in your country in terms of energy savings and other benefits? (multiple	
options possible)	

Energy efficiency	obligation schem	es introduced to	achieve	annual (energy
savings among fir	nal customers				

- Obligation for public authorities to renovate buildings owned and used by the central government
- Obligation for public authorities to purchase only products, services and buildings with high energy-efficiency performance
- Obligation for large enterprises to carry out regular energy audits to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities
- Support provided to small and medium-sized enterprises to carry out energy audits to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities
- Measures introduced on awareness raising of energy efficiency and promoting change of consumer behaviour
- Deployment of individual meters and obligation to provide consumers with better and more frequent information about their energy consumption

Introduction of subsidies, support schemes and fiscal incentives for energy efficiency
 Increased efficiency in energy production/conversion, transmission and distribution
 Introduced measures to address regulatory barriers or split incentives in national legal frameworks or administrative practices
 None of the above
 Other (please specify)

1.6 To what extent has the EED stimulated energy efficiency efforts in the following sectors?

(1 = to a very little extent and <math>5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Buildings	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Heating and cooling	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Industry	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Information and communication technologies (ICT)	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Transport	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Agriculture	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Services (i.e. commercial and public)	0	0	0	0	0	•

1.7 To what extent do the following factors represent barriers impeding the energy efficiency improvements across different sectors?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 5, where 1 = to a little extent and 5 = to a very large extent)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Lack of clear information among consumers about available energy efficiency measures and support schemes	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Split incentives (different interests of owners and tenants or investors and users)	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Administrative burden associated with energy efficiency investments	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Regulatory barriers preventing energy efficiency investments	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Lack of awareness among investors of profitability of investments in energy efficiency	0	0	0	•	0	0

* High transaction costs to finance the energy efficiency measures	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Limited access to capital for households and small and medium-sized enterprises to invest in energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Lack of available skills to make energy efficiency improvements	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Low profitability and return on investment	0	•	0	0	0	0
* Complexity or hassle associated with making energy efficiency investments	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Lack of fiscal measures and incentives including carbon pricing and energy taxation to provide incentives for energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	•	0

Please explain your answer (optional):

The desparity of gas taxation compared to electricity is a disincentive to invest in more efficient technologies.

1.8 To what extent were the costs associated with the implementation of the EED proportionate to the achieved energy savings and other benefits?

(please rate 1 to 5, where 1 - disproportionate, 5 - proportionate)

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
* Please select your answer	0	0	0	•	0	0

Please explain, provide further data and information on the costs and benefits associated with the implementation of the EED and specific EED articles.

The benefits of energy efficiency are great: significant reduction of greenhouse gases, reduction of primary energy consumption.

Other societal benefits are rarely taken into accounts such as lower energy bills, health, air quality, and the creation of local jobs.

This set of positive externalities linked to energy savings allows us to consider many more benefits than costs from a societal perspective.

*1.9 Are there any parts / specific provisions of the EED that are obsolete or have proven inappropriate?

- Yes
- O No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Since the targets are not reached, there are some provisions that are inappriopriate or need to be updated. At first, the indicative nature of the objective was innaprioriate as it has not orientated the actions toward a broader based and in depth energy efficiency. Thus a binding objective is necessary to direct States towards the same goals and to have a real implementation of these measures. The quantified objective itself must be revised to correspond to the new ambitions.

Secondly, the European Commission's 2020 progress report indicates that the target of renovating 3% of the floor area of buildings owned and occupied by central governments (Article 5) is also likely to be missed. In total, only 9 countries have met their targets for the 2014-2019 period, using either the default or alternative approach.

Third, according to the Commission, the cumulative savings achieved under Article 7 for the period 2014-2018 amounts to only 58% of the total cumulative savings required by 2020. Thus, it is crucial to monitor the final results at the end of the time period and adapt the measure for the following period. According to the Regulatory Assitance Project, the annual reductions will need to be 4 times greater over the 2018-2030 period to deliver higher efficieny target. Also, the energy efficiency obligations should be raised to meet the climate goals.

Finally, the current discount rate of 10% used to calculate the costs of the energy system in the Commission's modelling for the Impact Assessment of the Energy Directive is obsolete. Indeed, capital costs have decreased and a standard figure cannot be used for all scenarios, those hould be linked to a lower discount rate as clear regulation provides a stable framework for investors and lower investment risk. Thus, this rate needs to be revised to ensure a real impact of the new European omission measures.

* 1.10 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Effort
Sharing Regulation and the Emission Trading System? If yes, what are those?

0	Yes
---	-----

O No

No opinion

Please explain your answer:

To reinforce synergies with the EED, Member States could reinvest revenues from the ETS allowances in energy efficiency programmes. It should also provide financial and technical support for local authorities.

*1.11 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Renewable Energy Directive? If yes, what are those?

Yes

No

No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Energy efficiency is the priority for reducing greenhouse gas emissions and achieving climate neutrality. RES has a complementary role in decarbonising the different sectors and in particular the building stock. This is particularly the case for heating and cooling. Indeed, the renovation of buildings coupled with the use of heat pumps or district heating using less energy and running on renewable electricity are the main ways to decarbonise heating.

This synergy needs to be supported and strengthened.

*1.12 In your view, does the EED have positive synergies with the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive? If yes, what are those?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The EED Directive is the framework directive for energy efficiency policies, it gives the direction and the main principles for the Member States. The EPBD fits into this framework by specifying measures related to buildings.

There is therefore a great complementarity that can be found in particular in articles 5 and 6 of the EED on buildings and public authorities. However, these measures need to be updated and implemented to achieve the EPBD objectives of "highly energy-efficient and decarbonised building stock by 2050".

*1.13 To what extent has the EED contributed to an optimisation of the overall energy system (higher system efficiency)?

1000 character(s) maximum

At a very limited extend, we need greater focus on system change and reuse of waste heat.

*1.14 What are the main lessons learned from the implementation of the EED?

1000 character(s) maximum

The first lesson is that indicative measures do not work, unlike mandatory measures such as renewable energy or GHG reduction targets that seem to be achieved. And when measures are mandatory like Article 5, implementation is prevented by many alternatives and loopholes.

The second is that strong regulation needs to be accompanied by easy access to finance and technical assistance to be properly implemented.

The third lesson is strong measurements and tracking of progress.

* 1.15 What is missing in the EED?

1000 character(s) maximum

- 1) A binding European energy efficiency target, supported by binding national contributions, based on the assessment of national potentials
- 2) A comprehensive approach to the renovation of public buildings under Article 5, with the extension of the provisions to all public buildings, giving priority to schools, hospitals and social housing, and the removal of the alternative approach. It must include technical and financing assistance such as a more extensive EU City facility.
- 3) The alignment of Article 7 on an ambitious 2030 targets including the clarification of the saving to avoid eligibility and additionality concerns. This must be accompanied by strong monitoring to ensure that implementation is simplified.
- 4) Energy sufficiency must be taken into account as an essential means of achieving ambitious climate targets. There is a need to raise widespread awareness of this issue.

2. Assessing possible options for revising the Energy Efficiency Directive (EED) in view of contributing to the 55% climate target for 2030 and addressing the ambition gap in the final NECPs

The impact assessment supporting the 2030 Climate Target Plan concluded that a contribution at the level of 36-37% for final energy consumption and 39-41% for primary energy consumption by 2030 would be required.

Therefore, the Commission has launched the EED revision process. The revision would reflect on the need to increase energy efficiency efforts to match the level of ambition of a higher 2030 climate target and would also aim to strengthen those parts of the EED, which could address the remaining ambition gap for energy efficiency in the NECPs, to ensure the achievement of the current level of the EU energy efficiency target for 2030. In addition, the revision will be vital to contribute to the implementation of the other European Green Deal Initiatives[22]. This is particularly relevant especially in the context of actions identified in the Commission's Recovery Plan[23], which need to be reflected in the national Recovery and Resilience Plans.

The EED revision also offers the important opportunity to address any shortfall in its effectiveness and efficiency. A notable case relates, for instance, to the need for a more consistent application of the Energy Efficiency First principle. Another important area is the need to address any outstanding regulatory and non-regulatory barriers for additional energy savings and emissions reduction throughout all economic sectors.

In this context, the revision of the EED will also have to consider whether the EED sufficiently addresses emerging opportunities and needs for energy efficiency improvements in sectors like ICT sector, as well as agriculture and water.

In addition to the results of the evaluation of the Directive, the impact assessment of the 2030 Climate Target Plan and the Commission assessment of the final NECPs will feed into formulation of policy options to identify which elements of the EED – and to what extent – need to be amended, and what needs to be added to achieve the objectives outlined above.

*

- 2.1 Do you agree that energy efficiency should play a key role in delivering a higher climate ambition (of at least 55% net) for 2030 and in view of achieving the EU's carbon neutrality by 2050?
 - Agree
 - Neutral
 - Disagree
 - No opinion

Please explain your answer:

The impact assessment accompanying the "Climate Objective 2030" plan clearly recognises that achieving a GHG reduction target of at least 55% would require a significant increase in the current energy efficiency target for 2030 and its accompanying measures. Such an increase would have a very positive impact on the economy with the creation of many new jobs.

It should also be noted that energy savings in the building sector have a considerable role to play.

- *2.2 Given the suggested increase in energy efficiency efforts by 2030, which instruments of general nature should be considered to achieve the higher energy efficiency ambition? (multiple options possible)
 - Making the "Energy Efficiency First" principle* a compulsory test in relevant legislative, investment and planning decisions
 - Strengthening the EED requirements
 - Setting a higher energy efficiency target at EU level for 2030
 - Setting energy efficiency targets in specific sectors of the economy
 - Stronger focus on implementation and on enforcement of the existing legislation at national and EU level
 - Stronger focus on life-cycle efficiency and circularity.
 - The EU should provide additional technical support to Member States
 - Stronger focus on fiscal measures and incentives including through carbon pricing.
 - Stronger focus on awareness raising of energy efficiency and behavioural change
 - Other (please specify)

^{*} Energy Efficiency First (in line with Article 2(18) of the Regulation (EU) 2018/1999), means taking utmost account in energy planning, and in policy and investment decisions, of alternative cost-efficient energy efficiency measures to make energy demand and energy supply more efficient, in particular by means of cost-effective end-use energy savings, demand response initiatives and more efficient conversion, transmission and distribution of energy, whilst still achieving the objectives of those decisions.

measures and stricter requirements in the context of a higher energy
efficiency ambition for 2030?
Yes
No
No opinion
Please explain your answer:
*2.4 Could the EED be simplified while preserving its objectives and if so,
how?
1000 character(s) maximum
The EED can be simplified and reinforced by avoiding loopholes. This should be the case in the article 5 where alternatives are currently preventing the implementation. A binding target for instance would provide a stronger incentive for the Member States and thus simplify the guideline.
*2.5 With the suggested increase in ambition for energy efficiency for 2030, what should the nature of the EU targets be? Indicative
☑ Binding ☐ No. 100 March 100 M
Not specified
Other (please specify)
*2.6 With the suggested increase in ambition for energy efficiency for 2030, what should the nature of the national targets be?
Indicative national targets (to contribute to EU energy efficiency target for 2030)
Binding national targets
Not specified
Other (please specify)
*2.7 In which sectors would additional energy efficiency efforts be most
needed to achieve a higher energy efficiency ambition for 2030? (multiple
options possible)
■ Buildings

Heating and cooling
 Industry
 Information and communication technologies (ICT)
 Transport
 Agriculture
 Services (i.e. commercial and public)
 Other (please specify)

Please explain your answer:

Buildings represent 40% of energy consumption and 36% of GHG emissions. Also this sector should be a priority of the EED. By 2050, all buildings must be highly efficient and decarbonised. According to the Renovation Wave, the emissions in buildings will be cut by 60% in 2030 compared to 2015 levels. That is a hughe challenge that implies energy renovation and an improvement of the energy management.

2.8 Should the following measures be considered to achieve a higher ambition?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

							· · ·
	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
* Strengthening the renovation obligations for public buildings	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Strengthening energy efficiency requirements for public procurement	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Requiring that local authorities (above a certain size) develop an energy efficiency action plan with measurable impact indicators	0	0	0	0	0	•	©
* Requiring that large enterprises implement certain energy efficiency improvements identified in energy audits	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Requiring that small and medium-sized enterprises are offered free energy audits	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Extending the requirement on frequent consumption information from electricity and thermal energy to also cover gas and roll-out remotely readable gas meters	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Establishing sector specific goals or measures addressing sectors for which the energy efficiency potential is higher (e.g. services, data centres, energy-intensive industries)	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
*							

Strengthening the requirements for efficiency in energy transformation, transmission and distribution	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
* Strengthening the requirements for using energy performance contracting in renovation of public buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Introducing or extending fiscal measures and incentives, including carbon pricing and energy taxation	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Other (please specify)	•	0	0	0	0	0	0

* If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Revenues from the EED (when energy savings obligations are not met) should be redistributed at the local level for reinvestment as grants in the renovations of households and spurring a real 'renovation wave'.

Please explain your answer:

On the subject of gas, our intention here is to stress that the priority is to eliminate the use of gas in favour of a renewable source. The reduction of gases must not prevent the objective of total removal.

2.9 Should the following measures in the heating and cooling policy area be considered in order to achieve more effectively the decarbonisation objectives?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
0						
	0	0	0	©	•	0
0	0	0	0	0	•	0
0			0	©	•	0
0	©	0	0	0	0	•
0	0	0	0	0	•	0
0	0	0	0	0	•	0
	0 0	0 0	0 0 0			

* Specific requirements for utilization of waste heat and waste cold should be set for industry and services	0	0	0	0	0	•	©
Requiring district heating and cooling operators to prepare long-term plans to improve their energy efficiency in terms of primary energy intensity energy	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
* Member States should facilitate local and district approaches to policy and infrastructure planning and development in heating and cooling	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	•	0

* If you selected 'other', please explain here:

As an example, the mandatory heat planning in Baden-Würtemberg should be implemented in all regions.

Ple	ease explain your answer:		

2.10 Can the following principles ensure overall consistency of energy efficiency and renewable energy as key policies for decarbonisation?

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
* Having distinct energy efficiency and renewable targets is the best avenue to decarbonisation.	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Member States' progress towards decarbonisation targets should be the primary indicator to assess the renewables and energy efficiency policies and measures.	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
* Member States need to progress on both energy efficiency and renewables to reach their decarbonisation targets.	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
* Non-binding nature of national renewable and energy efficiency targets allows Member States to choose cost-efficient decarbonisation paths.	•	0	0	0	0	0	0
* Energy efficiency policies and measures should be prioritised where fossil-based energy solutions are currently used.	0	0	0	•	0	0	0

*2.11 How could synergies between the EED and the Renewables Energy Directive be strengthened in the future?

The priority is to reduce energy consumption, particularly in the building stock. Energy efficiency is the best way to achieve the ambitious GHG reduction targets for 2050. Renewable energies have a complementary role to play in decarbonising all sectors, particularly heating and cooling in cities and buildings. The revisions of EED and RED must propose a holistic and operational vision, increasing this synergy by implementing the principle of Energy Efficiency First.

Community lead renovation can play a key role in delivering efficiency, the same way energy communities play a key role in developing renewables. There is a positive feedback loop as money earned through renewables can be further invested in household efficiency - crucially, having renewables in the home increases the interest and willingness of homeowners to take more measures to reduce emissions and increase efficiency.

*2.12 How could synergies between the EED and the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive be strengthened in the future?

1000 character(s) maximum

The revisions should minimise overlap, better coordinate the two Directives to ensure in-depth and broad-based energy efficiency in the building sector. Thus Article 5 of EED needs to be strengthened to meet the ambitions of EPBD "highly energy efficient and decarbonised building stock by 2050"

*2.13 How could synergies between the EED and the Emission Trading System (ETS) be strengthened in the future, especially in the context of a possible extension of the ETS?

1000 character(s) maximum

One essential synergy is the use of he carbon revues that can be directed towards energy efficiency measures, in particular the renovation of the least efficient buildings occupied by low-income households. This can be implemented by the creation of a renovation fund for cities to coordinate the renovation work.

*2.14 How could synergies between the EED and the Effort Sharing Regulation be strengthened in the future?

1000 character(s) maximum

ESR and EE policies are closely linked. National climate targets complement and support the energy efficiency framework, by directing national action more towards sectors such as buildings and transport. At the same time, national energy efficiency measures contribute to the reduction of GHG emissions and thus help Member States to meet their targets under the ESR. There is still significant potential for energy savings across Europe, which allows for an increase in national ESR targets. The legislative review should strengthen the ESR, in combination with a strong policy framework for energy efficiency.

For example, Article 7 should be strengthened. In this sense, improved measurement, verification and evaluation by Member States of reported energy savings would contribute to the achievement of national targets in the framework of the ESR and would strengthen its synergies with the Energy Efficiency Directive.

*2.15 How could EU citizens - and especially young people - be more engaged and contribute to achieving a higher ambition of energy efficiency?

1000 character(s) maximum

Citizens must be involved in different projects in their neighbourhood in order to understand concretely the different advantages they have in participating in an energy retrofit project in their own buildings and houses. Citizens lead renovations projects organized at a local level can be a key tool to achieve this. In times of recovery, the arguments for a circular, fair and resilient economy will be strong ones for young citizens.

- *2.16 The "Energy Efficiency First" principle is established in energy legislation to contribute to a higher energy efficiency ambition. Which measures in your view could be implemented to ensure the principle is consistently applied? (multiple options possible)
 - Providing more information to users on energy efficiency and energy consumption of products and infrastructures, considering their life-cycle.
 - Requiring that the "energy efficiency first" principle is applied to all relevant EU energy policies related to the whole energy value chain
 - Requiring that the "energy efficiency first" principle is applied to all relevant national energy policies related to the whole energy value chain
 - Developing guidelines on implementation in relevant policy, planning and investment decisions
 - Developing mechanisms to monitor implementation of the principle at national level
 - Others (please specify)
 - None

Please elaborate on your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

The introduction of carbon budgeting at local, national and european level would insure the principle of energy efficiency first is taken into account in all spending and policy decisions.

- *2.17 Is there a need to develop a common methodology on the application of the "Energy Efficiency First" principle in energy networks investment programmes and operation practices?
 - Yes, and it should be developed by the European Commission, ENTSO(-e,-g), national energy regulator, TSO, other
 - Yes, and it should be accompanied by an appropriate monitoring mechanism
 - No, there are already specific documents and methodology developed on this
 - No, this would intrude into the independence of the National Regulatory Authorities

No, the energy networks in the EU are too diverse to be covered by a
common methodology (principle of subsidiarity)
$^{\square}$ No, while the case can be made for a common methodology, it would be too
cumbersome to implement in practice
Other (please specify)
If you selected 'other', please specify here:
No opinion

This is the end of Part I.

If you wish to contribute on technical aspects of different articles, please continue with part II.

Do you want to continue with part II on the technical aspects of different articles?

- Yes
- O No

If you decide to end the survey here, we thank you very much for your valuable contribution.

Part II – Technical questions on specific Articles of the Energy Efficiency Directive

The EED lays down a set of measures aimed to step up Member States' efforts to use energy more efficiently at all stages of the energy chain – from the transformation of energy and its distribution to its final consumption - and those are as follows:

• Articles 1 & 3 (energy efficiency targets) sets the EU headline energy efficiency targets for 2020 (of 20%) and for 2030 (of at least 32.5%) and Member States have to set their national indicative targets and indicative contributions in view of achieving those headline targets for 2020 and 2030 respectively. Member States shall report annually on the progress towards their national indicative energy efficiency targets and submit National Energy Efficiency Action Plans ('NEEAPs) every three years, starting from 2014. For the headline EU 2030 target, Member States shall fulfil the planning and reporting obligations under the Governance regulation (set their national contributions towards the EU 2030 target and define the national measures to fulfil those contributions in the National energy and Climate Plans to be submitted to the Commission by end 2019.

- Article 5 (exemplary role of public bodies' buildings) requires that Member States renovate 3% (or implement alternative measures resulting in equivalent savings) of their central government buildings of over 500 m² which do not meet the cost-optimal energy efficient standards. This threshold dropped to 250 m² as of 9 July 2015.
- Under Article 6 (purchasing by public bodies) central governments have the
 obligation to purchase energy efficient products, buildings and vehicles, and Member
 States should encourage public bodies of local and regional government do so as well.
 This Article was evaluated in 2016[24], however the findings were not conclusive given
 that the implementation had just started and it was too early to assess the impact[25].
- Article 7 (energy saving obligations) sets an obligation on Member States to achieve new energy savings each year (of 1.5% of the annual energy sales for the period 2014-2020 and of 0.8% (0,24% for Malta and Cyprus) of the final energy consumption for the period 2021-2030) by putting in place an energy efficiency obligations scheme or other policy measures. Article 7 is responsible for about half of the energy savings the EED is expected to deliver. As mentioned above, this Article was amended as part of the focused EED review in 2016 (amending Directive EU/2018/2002). Under
- Article 8 (energy audits and energy management systems) Member States must ensure that large companies have their first energy audit by 5 December 2015 and then every four years. The review of the implementation of the definition of small and medium size enterprises for the purposes of Article 8(4) is carried out in a separate process (in line with the amended Article 24(12)).
- Articles 9 to 11 (metering and billing) provide requirements for metering and billing of energy use. As mentioned above, those Articles were already amended as part of the focussed EED review in 2016 (amending Directive EU/2018/2002) by adding new, more precise and specific provisions applicable for thermal energy (heating and cooling)[26]. Electricity related provisions were transferred to the recast Electricity Directive (EU) 2019 /944. For an overview and a detailed discussion of the changes made please refer to Commission Recommendation (EU) 2019/1660 of 25 September 2019 on the implementation of the new metering and billing provisions of the Energy Efficiency Directive 2012/27/EU[27].
- Article 14 (promotion of efficiency in heating and cooling) requires that Member States promote efficiency in district heating and cooling systems and carry out comprehensive territory-wide assessments of the potential for efficient heating and cooling by 31 December 2015 which should be resubmitted again by 31 December 2020 (on basis of the updated methodology and the amended Annex VIII and part of Annex IX)[28]. It also requires individual cost-benefit analysis to be carried out in the context of the planning and permitting of certain types of installation (thermal electricity generation, industrial installations, district heating and cooling network), in order to assess the potential benefits of high-efficient cogeneration installation or utilising waste heat from nearby industrial installations(Art. 14(5) and 14(7)).
- Article 15 (energy transformation, transmission and distribution) requires that
 Member States ensure that energy efficiency is taken into account in energy

transformation, transmission and distribution and contains specific provisions to this end. Certain of these (parts of Art. 15(5) and Art. 15(8)) were removed as part of the focussed revision in 2018 and replaced with consolidation provisions in the new Electricity Market legislation.

- Article 16 (on qualifications and accreditation schemes for providers of energy services and energy audits) had a later transposition deadline than the rest of the Directive (31 December 2014) and it is also closely linked to the implementation of Articles 17 and 18.
- Under Article 17 (information and training) Member States shall ensure that information on available energy efficiency mechanisms and financial and legal frameworks is widely disseminated to all relevant market actors. The effectiveness of the implementation of this Article was assessed in 2017[29]. The findings of the assessment showed that while most of the Member States have put in place information and awareness raising measures, it is hard to assess their impact on the uptake of energy efficiency improvements and investments due to lack of robust monitoring results and ex-post evaluations.
- Member States are required to promote the energy services market under Article 18 (energy services) with a particular focus put on supporting the public sector including through the use of energy performance contracting. A number of reports to assess progress of energy service markets in the EU including the uptake of the energy performance contracting have been carried out by the JRC in the framework of an administrative arrangement with DG ENER.
- Article 19 (other measures to promote energy efficiency) requires the Member States to take action to remove regulatory and non-regulatory barriers to energy efficiency and to report on this to the Commission as part of their first National Energy Efficiency Action Plan (NEEAP). Progress made by Member States in relation to Article 19(1) was assessed on basis of the notified NEEAPs 2014 and 2017 and a report was published in 2019[30].
- Article 20 (Energy Efficiency National Fund, financing and technical support)
 provides that the Member States shall facilitate the establishment of financing facilities
 and that they may set up an Energy Efficiency National Fund. This Article was amended
 in the focussed EED review by adding additional requirements for the Member States
 and the Commission (providing guidance on how to unlock private investments).
- Article 21 on the conversion factors set out in Annex IV was amended for the purposes of reviewing the default coefficient primary energy factor for electricity generation (in footnote 3) and which should be again reviewed by 25 December 2022 (as required by amending Directive EU/2018/2002). Article 24 (review and monitoring of implementation) contains reporting obligations for the Commission (while the reporting obligations for the Member States have been transferred to the Governance Regulation, (EU)2018/1999). This Article thus has been partially amended to ensure the coherence with the Governance framework and the amendments of Articles 3 and 7, and it is thus specifically targeted in this consultation.

About you - What is your field of expertise?
Energy policy
Energy efficiency
Energy audit and management
Energy performance of buildings
Heating and cooling
Other (please specify)
If you selected 'other', please specify here:

Article 1 and 3 - Energy efficiency targets

3.1 How do you assess the level of ambition of the existing EU energy efficiency targets?

(too high - adequate level - too low)

	Too high	Adequate level	Too low	No opinion
For 2020 targets	0	0	•	0
For 2030 targets	0	0	•	0

3.2 Could you please give your opinion on the current aspects of the Union's energy efficiency targets for 2020?

(Appropriate – Not appropriate – Difficult to say/ No opinion)

	Appropriate	Not appropriate	Difficult to say	No opinion
The nature of the target is not specified (whether it is binding or indicative)	©	•	0	0
Indicators used for defining the target: primary or final energy consumption	•	0	0	0
Same level of ambition for both primary and final energy consumption	•	0	0	0
Definition of the baseline (2007 Reference Scenario projections for 2020)	0	0	•	0
Clarity of the target	•	0	0	0

	Appropriate	Not appropriate	Difficult to say	No opinion
Approaches for setting national targets are not prescribed - Member States can chose the methodology and indicators for setting their target (s) (primary/ final energy consumption, savings or intensity)	0	•	0	0
Indicative nature of national targets (no sanctions for non-compliance)	0	•	0	0
No reference values/formula at EU level for assessing the level of national ambition	0	•	0	0
No need to set intermediate milestones/ trajectory	0	•	0	0
to targets				
Possibility to revise the national targets	0	•	0	0
	ropriate. The revi	sion of the EED ntials. In additio	should estal	ate steps
Possibility to revise the national targets ease explain your answer here (optional) The indicative nature of the national targets is not appropriate inding national contributions based on an assessment are needed to ensure steady and satisfactory progress	: ropriate. The revi it of national pote is towards the targ	sion of the EED ntials. In additio get so as not to b	should estal n, intermedia pe overtaken	ate steps when
Possibility to revise the national targets ease explain your answer here (optional) The indicative nature of the national targets is not applied binding national contributions based on an assessment are needed to ensure steady and satisfactory progress the deadline comes.	cropriate. The revi	sion of the EED ntials. In additio get so as not to b	should estal n, intermedia pe overtaken	ate steps when
Possibility to revise the national targets ease explain your answer here (optional) The indicative nature of the national targets is not appropriate inding national contributions based on an assessment are needed to ensure steady and satisfactory progress the deadline comes. Has the EED provided the right monitorial contributions based on an assessment are needed to ensure steady and satisfactory progress the deadline comes.	cropriate. The revi	sion of the EED ntials. In additio get so as not to b	should estal n, intermedia pe overtaken	ate steps when

The current control mechanisms have not enabled the objectives to be achieved. They need to be

In Article 7, the measurement, verification and evaluation of savings reported by Member States should be

strengthened with effective incentive mechanisms.

improved to ensure the reliability of energy savings estimates.

Please explain your answer here (optional):

Article 5 - Exemplary role of central government buildings

3.5 Has the EED made central government buildings in your country more energy efficient?

Υe	25

No

No opinion

Please explain your answer:

We work at European and local level but we note that the results are very nuanced. Indeed, many Member States have applied alternative measures instead of renovating 3% of the total surface area of central government buildings. These alternatives have not led to an effective renovation of the buildings. Finally, Article 5 has very limited effectiveness because the provision applies to only a small part of the building stock and the requirements on the depth of renovation do not go beyond the requirements of the EPBD.

3.6 What are the main factors limiting central government in effective and efficient renovation of its buildings (multiple options possible)?

- Insufficient enforcement of the regulatory framework in my country
- Insufficient national budget earmarked for renovation
- Requirement to renovate can be achieved by alternative measures that are not clearly defined and are hard to monitor
- Requirement to renovate does not apply to rented buildings and central government authorities often rent their buildings
- Other (please specify)

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

There is a lack of ambition about the depth of building renovation.

Moreover, alternative measures cannot really involve the same energy savings.

Finally, the lack of financial and technical support from local authorities is a major barrier to the successful completion of renovation projects.

3.7 How do you assess the current 3% annual goal on renovation of central government's buildings in line with Article 5?

The 3% goal is too	low and	does not	go bey	yond the	standard	rate of
renovation						

The 3% goal is at an adequate level to promote renovation of central	al
government's buildings	

The 3% goal is too high
Other (please specify)
If you selected 'other', please explain here:
An at least 3% renovation rate per year seems adequate but what is equally important is the depth of renovation and the scope of the Article 5. Indeed, It should be extended to also include buildings serving the public interest and public buildings beyond central government buildings, i.e. at regional and local level.
3.8 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could Article 5 be made more effective? (multiple options possible)
The obligation to renovate public buildings should be extended to regional and local authorities
The obligation should be extended to include buildings simply occupied by the central government
The obligation should be extended to include buildings simply occupied by the central, regional and local public authorities
The obligation should target specific type of public buildings, such as schools and hospitals
The required floor area to be renovated each year should be higher than 3% of all public buildings
The obligation shall require deep renovations in order to reach higher than minimal energy standards
Minimum energy performance requirements for owned and rented public buildings should be introduced
Minimum levels of renewable energy use should be introduced
Public authorities should be required to adopt an energy management system and track buildings performance
Wider approaches to achieving sustainable built environment (such as circular economy considerations) should be better considered for public buildings renovations
✓ Other (please specify)
If you selected 'other', please explain here:

3.9 Has the requirement for central governments to purchase only products,									
services and buildings with high energy-efficiency performance helped to									
develop a market for energy efficiency products and services in your									
country?									
Yes									
No									
No opinion									
Please explain your answer:									
3.10 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could									
Article 6 be made more effective? (multiple options possible)									
The energy efficiency requirement in public procurement should be extended									
to all levels of public administration (including to regional and local authorities)									
Requirements on reporting on energy used during the whole lifetime of									
procured goods and buildings should be gradually introduced									
A mandatory calculation of total cost of ownership shall be introduced for									
public procurement The references to limiting conditions (e.g. cost-									
effectiveness, economic feasibility, technical suitability) should be removed									
Other (please specify)									
Article 7 Energy Sovings Obligation									
Article 7 – Energy Savings Obligation									
3.11 Taking into consideration the required higher energy efficiency efforts									
for 2020, how do you assess the current level of ambition of Article 7(1) on									

3.11 Taking into consideration the required higher energy efficiency efforts for 2030, how do you assess the current level of ambition of Article 7(1) on energy savings obligation?

(too high - adequate level - too low)

	Too high	Adequate	Too low	No opinion
Please select your answer	0	0	•	0

3.12 What elements of Article 7 should be addressed to ensure the higher
level of energy efficiency for 2030 (ranking the measures by using the scale 1-6,
1 – not important and 6 – very important; or No opinion)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
Increase the ambition level of energy savings obligation for 2021-2030	©	0	0	0	0	•	0
Strengthen the additionality criteria for existing tax measures	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Make the EEOS a mandatory instrument in all Member States	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Require Member States to set a certain level of energy savings to be achieved in building renovations	©	0	0	•	0	0	0
Require Member States to set a certain level of energy savings to be achieved in transport	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Strengthen the monitoring and verification rules	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Require Member States to target specific sectors with policy measures under Article 7	0	0	•	0	0	0	0
Set mandatory requirements to implement a specific share of policy measures to alleviate energy poverty	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Revenues from the EED (when energy savings obligations are not met) should be redistributed as grants at the local level for reinvestment in the renovations

Article 8 - Energy audits and energy management systems

3.13 Current rules oblige enterprises that are not small or medium-sized to carry out every four years an energy audit to learn about their energy consumption profile and identify energy saving opportunities. Should these rules be changed?

- Yes
- O No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

To achieve energy savings, it is important not only to carry out energy audits, but also to ensure that the resulting recommendations are followed up with concrete actions. To promote the follow-up of energy audits, incentives could be linked to the implementation of the recommendations.

3.13.A Would the following option address the shortcomings you have observed

(select one answer for every option)?

Obligation to carry out energy audits should:	l fully agree	l agree	Neutral	l disagree	l fully disagree	No opinion
depend on energy consumption and not size or ownership	0	0	0	0	0	•
depend only on size of the enterprise but not on who owns it	0	0	0	0	0	•
depend both on energy consumption and on size	0	0	0	0	0	•
be made more frequently than every four years	0	0	0	0	0	•
be accompanied by an obligation for enterprises to implement certain measures identified in energy audits	0	0	0	0	0	•
be accompanied by a requirement to disclose non-sensitive information from energy audits	0	0	0	0	0	•
include recommendations for utilising renewable energy	0	0	0	0	0	•
Include recommendations on resource efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	•

Articles 9-11 - Metering for gas

3.14 To what extent has the EED contributed to final customers being
informed of actual gas consumption and costs properly and frequently
enough to understand what drives their consumption and make informed
choices about possible energy saving measures?

	Contributed	to	а	large	extent
--	-------------	----	---	-------	--------

- Contributed to some extent
- Did not contribute
- I do not know

Please	expla	in vour	answer:
	O, (p. c.	,	a

Article 14 - promotion of efficiency in heating and cooling and related Annexes and definitions

3.15 Have the requirements under Article 14 increased energy efficiency in the heating and cooling sector in your country?

- Yes
- O No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

Article 14 has provided a number of opportunities to integrate efficiency more systematically into decision-making on the design of heating and cooling systems and the transformation, transport and distribution of energy. We note that an integrated approach to energy supply and demand, based on the principle of energy efficiency first, should be strengthened in the design of national and local policies.

3.16 What was the impact in your country of the requirement to carry out a cost-benefit analysis under Article 14(5) in the following areas

(please rank: Very high – High – moderate – Low – Very low)

	Very high	High	Moderate	Low	Very low	No opinion
It increased energy efficiency of energy supply	0	0	•	0	0	0
It increased energy efficiency of heating and cooling networks	0	0	•	0	0	0
High-efficiency cogeneration was more often deployed	0	0	•	0	0	0
Efficient district heating and cooling was more often deployed	0	0	•	0	0	0
Increased reuse of waste heat from industry	0	0	•	0	0	0
It increased reuse of waste heat from services (including ICT)	0	0	•	0	0	0

3.17 Given that additional energy efficiency efforts are needed, how could Article 14 and related Annexes and definitions (Article 2) be made more effective? To what extent do you agree that the following measures should be implemented

(use a rating scale of 1 to 6, where 1 = strongly disagree and 6 = strongly agree)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	No opinion
Minimum requirements for efficient district heating and cooling should be strengthened;	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Minimum requirements for efficient district heating and cooling should be established separately for networks and generation units;	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Minimum requirements for high-efficiency cogeneration should be strengthened;	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Minimum requirements for high-efficiency cogeneration using fossil fuels should be stricter;	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
The Comprehensive assessments in line with Article 14(1) should explicitly cover renewable energy potentials in heating and cooling;	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
The requirement to address the potential identified in the Comprehensive assessments through policies and measures should be strengthened;	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
The requirements for a cost-benefit analysis in line with Article 14(5) should be based on primary energy savings;	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Member States should better ensure that costs and benefits of more efficient heating and cooling supply are taken into account in infrastructure and investment planning and permitting;	0	0	0	0	•	0	0
Planning and permitting of infrastructure generating waste heat or cold should take into consideration geographical proximity of a potential demand (heat sink) for this energy;	0	0	0	0	0	•	0
Member States should introduce specific energy efficiency indicators for district heating and cooling to ensure that operators improve energy efficiency of their generation and reduce network losses;	0	0	0	•	0	0	0
Other (please specify).	0	0	0	0	0	•	0

If you selected 'other', please explain here:

Set an energy efficiency threshold for heating and cooling technologies.

Technical and Financial support to cities and local authorities and facilitate the access to the heat data to implement their renewable heat plans. Current national assessments can be greatly improved and crucially, access to the data from the assessments must be freely and easily accessible to local authorities to build plans upon the assessments.

3.18 Which of the following measures would be important to increase energy efficiency of data centres? (select one answer for each option)

Rules should ensure that:	Very important	Important to some extent	Not important	No opinion
large data centres are encouraged to be located where their waste heat can be used	•	0	0	0
the potential for waste heat reuse is assessed when new data centres apply for planning permissions	•	0	0	0
existing provisions to exploit industrial waste heat potential are strengthened	•	0	0	0

	the potential for waste heat reuse is assessed when new data centres apply for planning permissions	•	0	©	0	
	existing provisions to exploit industrial waste heat potential are strengthened	•	0	0	0	
Ple	ease explain your answer (optional):					
3.1	ticle 15 – Energy transformation, transmiss 19 Do electricity and gas networks (tra	nsmissio		bution) op	perate	
111	the most energy efficient way in your Yes	country :				
	© No					
	I don't know					
Ρle	ease explain your answer:					
	No. Demand-shifting of electricity, leading to far greate introduction of more flexible pricing which requires smadrastically ramped up in member states.	-	-		-	
3.2	20 Which are the main factors limiting	energy ef	ficiency imp	orovemen	ts of	
the	e networks in your country? (multiple o	ptions pos	ssible)			
	The regulatory authorities discouraged	d investme	ents by not a	ccepting th	ne	
	investment in the Regulatory Asset Ba					
	Financing for investments is not easily					
	The tariff structure is not conducive to the minimization of energy losses in					

the grids;

√

	The capital expe		ld result in an inac s	ceptable incr	ease of network			
		ed to upgrad	de the physical infr	astructure of	the grid would			
	The authorisation of permits is too long;							
	The environmen	tal impact of	upgrading the infr	astructure w	ould be larger			
	than that of the e	energy waste	ed in the grids;					
	Other (please sp	ecify)						
Ar	ticle 16 – Availability	of qualificat	ion, accreditation a	nd certification	on schemes			
2	Od Ano vou owene	of the coutifi	iaatian aabamaa	a a a va ditatia	an achamac and			
	21 Are you aware d quivalent qualificat		•					
	ıdits, energy mana		-					
	© Yes			•	•			
	[◎] No							
	No opinion							
DI	oaso ovolain vour a	newor:						
ГΙ	ease explain your a	IISWEI.						
3.5	22 How you would	l assess the	e effectiveness of	the existing	certification and			
	r accreditation sch				,			
		Effective	Effective to some extent	Not effective	I do not know/ no opinion			
	Please select your answer	0	•	0	•			
ΡI	ease explain your a	nswer:						
3.	23 In your view, ha	s the EED (Article 16) contril	buted to set	ting up the			
ce	ertification and/or a	ccreditatio	n schemes and/o	r equivalent	qualification			
SC	chemes, including	training pro	grammes?					
	Yes							
	[◎] No							

No opinion
Please explain your answer:
Article 18 – Energy services
3.24 Have the requirements under Article 18 contributed to the development
of energy services market in your country?
Yes
[©] No
No opinion
Please explain your answer:
Article 18 has led to the development of the market for energy services, however, the provisions of the article should be better implemented and applied. A BPIE report of August 2020 on energy services and the renovation wave shows that countries that have gone beyond the minimum requirements of Article 18 have developed a more developed market for energy services.
3.24.A Which were the most important factors that contributed to the
development of the energy services market in your country?
at most 3 choice(s)
Information about energy services has been made available to SMEs and consumers;
Model for energy performance contracts have been developed and deployed in practice (?);
Certification and accreditation schemes for energy services providers ensures that the needed skills are available;
Financing and support mechanisms has been made available;
Regulatory framework has been properly set;
Other (please specify).
3.25 What possible elements should be considered as part of the EED
revision to improve the functioning of energy services and energy performance contracting?

Introduction of reporting requirements for Member States on the certified
energy services providers, number of energy performance contracts
concluded in the public sector etc.;

- Introduction of requirements for independent monitoring and verification of energy performance contracts;
- Strengthening of requirements on independent market intermediaries /facilitators/ one-stop shops to increase trust and facilitate the use of energy services/ energy performance contracting;
- Other option(s). (please specify)

Article 19 - Other measures to promote energy efficiency

3.26 How do you perceive the existence of regulatory, legal or administrative barriers to energy efficiency in the following areas:

	Very significant	Somewhat significant	Not significant	No opinion
Split incentives between the owner and the tenant (s) of a building	0	•	0	0
Split incentives between owners in multi-owner properties	•	0	0	0
Investments in energy efficiency by individual public bodies prevented due to national or regional rules on public purchasing annual budgeting or accounting	0	•	0	0

PΙ	ase explain your answer:	

Article 20 – Energy Efficiency National Fund, financing and technical support

3.27 Has Article 20 facilitated access to finance for energy efficiency projects in your country?

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

There is a need to facilitate access to funding at both national and local level. For this, it is relevant to support local authorities in the implementation of their plan by massively developing the EU City Facility.

3.28 What was the impact of Article 20 in your country in the following areas?

	Very low	Low	Moderate	High	Very high	No opinion/ difficult to assess
Setting up an Energy Efficiency National Fund or a similar national financial support scheme for energy efficiency in households	0	0	0	0	©	•
Setting up specific financing facilities for increasing energy efficiency in different sectors	0	0	0	0	0	•
Setting up specific technical support schemes for increasing energy efficiency in different sectors	0	0	0	0	0	•
Dissemination of best practice in the field of financing energy efficiency	0	0	0	0	0	•
Using revenues from annual emission allocations under Decision No 406/2009 /EC for the development of innovative financing mechanisms for improving the energy performance of buildings	•	0	•	•	•	•

Article 21 - Conversion factors and Annex IV

3.29 Should Annex IV on "Energ	y content of	f selected fuels	for end use	" be
revised? If so, how?				

Yes
163

O No

No opinion

Please	e exp	lain	your	answer
--------	-------	------	------	--------

3.30 In your view, how could the default Primary Energy Factor (the

coefficient referred to in footnote (3) of Annex IV) facilitate decarbonisation?

1000 character(s) maximum

This is the end of the survey. Thank you very much for your valuable contribution.

References

- [1] The Roadmap and Inception Impact Assessment was published on 3 August and was made available for public feedback until 21 September 2020: https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/better-regulation/have-your-say/initiatives/12552-EU-energy-efficiency-directive-EED-evaluation-and-review
- [2] Regulation (EU) 2018/1999
- [3] Definition provided in Article 18(2) of the Regulation, EU(2018)1999 on the Governance of the Energy Union and Climate Action
- [4] Directive 2010/31/EU
- [5] Regulation (EU) 2017/1369
- [6] Directive 2009/125/EC
- [7] Directive (EU) 2018/2001
- [8] Directive 96/61/EC
- [9] Regulation (EU) 2018/842
- [10] Amending Directive (EU) 2018/2002
- [11] https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-strategy-and-energy-union/clean-energy-all-europeans
- [12] Articles 1&3 on headline energy efficiency targets, Art 7 on energy saving obligations, 9-11 on metering and billing, 15(2), 20, 22-24, footnote 3 in Annex IV, Annex V, a new Annex VIIa, Annex IX
- [13] Cf. Article 24(15) and Article 3(6) of the revised EED
- [14] COM(2019) 640 final
- [15] COM (2020) 562 final
- [16] COM(2020) 562 final
- [17] COM/2020/564 final
- [18] COM(2020) 954 final
- [19] A report from the Task Force is available here: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files

/report_of_the_work_of_task_force_mobilising_efforts_to_reach_eu_ee_targets_for_2020.pdf

- [20] Article 24(15) of the EED requires to carry out a general evaluation by 28 February 2024.
- $\hbox{[21] See https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/better-regulation-guidelines-evaluation-fitness-checks.pdf}$
- [22] Notably but not limited to the Renovation Wave initiative (COM(2020) 632), given that a significant share of energy and resource savings are expected to come from renovation of buildings, the EU Strategy for Energy System Integration (COM(2020) 299 final), the Digital Strategy (COM(2018) 7118 final), the forthcoming Zero Pollution Action Plan and new Circular Economy Action Plan (COM(2020) 98 final). Energy efficiency is relevant especially in the context of actions identified in the Commission's Recovery Plan[1], which need to be reflected in the national Recovery and Resilience Plans.
- [23] COM(2020) 456 final
- [24] SWD(2016) 402 final
- [25] See https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/3_en_autre_document_travail_service_part1_v3.pdf
- [26] While removing thermal energy from the original provisions thereby restricting their scope to electricity and gas. Subsequently also electricity has been removed from their scope and instead regulated under the provisions of the recast Electricity Directive (EU) 2019/944: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2019.158.01.0125.01.ENG&toc=OJ:L:2019:158:TOC
- [27] See e.g. section 1.1. and 1.3 of the annex: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1574946822907&uri=CELEX: 32019H1660
- [28] C(2019) 6625 final

[29] https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/final_report_of_assessment_of_the_implementation_status_and_effectivenes.pdf
[30] https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/bitstream/JRC115314
/assessement_of_progress_made_by_member_states_in_relation_to_article_19_final.pdf

Contact

ENER-EED-CONSULTATION@ec.europa.eu